

RENDICONTI DEL CIRCOLO MATEMATICO DI PALERMO
Serie II, Tomo LIV (2005), pp. 329-342

LOCAL AND IMPROPER DANIELL-LOOMIS INTEGRALS

E. DE AMO – M. DÍAZ CARRILLO

In this paper we start from previous results obtained in [7] on the abstract space of Daniell-Loomis integrable functions L , which is constructed like to the Daniell extension process, but without continuity assumptions on the elementary integral.

The localized integral is used to prove that L consists of those functions whose local upper and lower integrals are equal and finite, or that L is closed with respect to improper integration.

Our results are also holded in integration with respect to finitely additive measures.

1. Introduction.

The Daniell-Bourbaki integral extension has been generalized with the integral $\bar{I}: \bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, introduced in [5], starting with any nonnegative linear functional I on a vector lattice B of real-valued functions on X . In [6] an abstract space of integrable functions L is constructed similar to the Daniell L^1 , using an appropriate local convergence in measure, which is very useful to obtain convergence theorems in a form analogous to the classical ones, but contrary to that L^1 case, no continuity conditions on the starting elementary integral $I \upharpoonright B$, e.g. of Daniell type or “starke” integral norm of [13], are needed. It allows to discuss an unified functional analytic approach to integration, in an abstract Riemann spirit; which subsume previous results obtained by Aumann [4], Loomis [10], Gould [8] and Schäfke [13].

On the other hand, this also leads to treat set-theoretical aspects of integration with respect to finitely additive measures μ on semirings Ω of sets

(abbreviated $\mu \mid \Omega$). Always, proper Riemann- [6], abstract Riemann- [9] and Dunford-Schwartz [7] μ -integration are subsumed by L . This abstract measure theory is developed by proving Fubini theorems for finitely additive measures [2] and an approximate functional Radon-Nikodym theorem [1].

An important source of information on finitely additive measures is the paper by W.A. Luxemburg [11], which gives an extensive bibliography and treatment of the subject that may be useful in applications.

Since the cornerstone of our approach to integration is the concept of a localized integral, it seem interesting to discuss new characterizations of the abstract space of integrable functions L given in [6]. Thus, one obtains L via one of the three classical methods: certain limits of elementary functions, the closure of B with respect to an L -type seminorm; and, in this paper, via equality and finiteness of the localized upper and lower integrals (Theorem 8) and improper integrable functions (Theorem 13).

We recall that the set of the integrable functions L coincides with L^1 in the classical case. Always \overline{B} (summable in [5]) and $R_1(B, I)$ (abstract-Riemann integrable functions in [6]) are contained in L .

For an upper functional in the sense of Anger and Portenier [3], essential integration gives new characterizations of abstract Riemann integration with respect to $I \mid B$. Then, we have in mind future applications to Riesz representation theorems (see [1] and [2]), regularity and Radon integrals. Such as we mentioned before, we already have incorporated to this abstract integration theory Fubini and Radon-Nikodym thorems, which are not treated in [6].

2. General framework. Preliminaires.

Notations and conventions used are similar to that of [5] and [6], and will be explained it whenever be necessary in order to mke the paper self-contained.

We extend the usual $+$ in \mathbb{R} to $\overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ by $a + b := 0$, $a \dot{+} b := \infty$ if $a = -b \in \{-\infty, \infty\}$; $a - b := a + (-b)$, etc.. With $a \vee b := \max(a, b)$, $a \wedge b := \min(a, b)$, $a \cap b := (a \wedge b) \vee (-b)$ if $b \geq 0$, $a^+ := a \vee 0$, $a^- := (-a)^+$, one has for $a, b, c, d, e \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $s, t \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ := [0, \infty]$, the inequalities

$$|a \cap t - b \cap t| \leq 2(|a - b| \wedge t)$$

$$(1) \quad |(a + b) - (c + d)| \leq |a - c| + |b - d|$$

$$||a| - |b|| \leq |a - b| \leq |a - c| + |c - d|, \quad a \leq b \dot{+} (a - b)$$

(Aumann [4, *b), *c])); $+$, $\dot{+}$, $\dot{+}$ are conmutative, $+$ distributive with

$0(\pm\infty) := 0$, but not asociative; $\dot{+}$ is associative and the above inequalities hold for $\dot{+}$.

On the set $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ of functions $f: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, we define $=, \pm, \dot{+}, \wedge, \vee, \cup, \cdot \alpha, |\bullet|, \leq$, pointwise on X . Given $M \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$, $+M := \{f \in M; f \geq 0\}$ and for an arbitrary functional q on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$, q_* denotes the functional defined on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ by $q_*(f) := -q(-f)$.

In all that follows, B will be a function vector lattice (or Riesz space) $\subset \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ and $I: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, a linear functional with $I(h) \geq 0$ for $h \in +B$.

For such $I \mid B$ context, we need the following results of [5] and [6], in somewhat modified notation:

- $B^\tau := \sup\{M; \emptyset \neq M \subset B\}$
- (2) $I^+(f) := \sup\{I(h); h \in B, h \leq f\}$, for $f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$, with $\sup \emptyset := -\infty$
- $B_\tau := \{g \in B^\tau; I^+(f + g) = I^+(f) + I^+(g), \text{ for all } f \in B^\tau\}$
- $\overline{I}(f) := \inf\{I^+(g); f \leq g \in B_\tau\}$, $\underline{I}(f) := (\overline{I})_*(f)$, for $f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$

The elements of

$$\overline{B} := \{f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X; \overline{I}(f) = \underline{I}(f) \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

are called *I-summable functions*.

B^τ and B_τ are $+$ and \vee -closed, B_τ is also \wedge -closed. \overline{I} is $-$ -subadditive on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$, \overline{I} and I^+ are $+\mathbb{R}_0$ -homogeneous and monotone on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$.

$B_{(\tau)}$ denotes $\{f \in B_\tau; I^+(f) < +\infty\}$, $B_{(\tau)}$ is \wedge -closed and $B \subset B_{(\tau)} \cup (-B_{(\tau)}) \subset \overline{B}$. If $f \in B_\tau$, then $I^+(f) = \overline{I}(f) = \underline{I}(f)$.

- (3) \overline{B} is closed under $+, \dot{+}, \wedge, \vee, \cdot \alpha, |\bullet|$; \overline{B} is the closure of B in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ with respect to the integral seminorm \overline{I} , $\overline{I} \mid \overline{B}$ is the unique \overline{I} -continuous extension of $I \mid B$ to \overline{B} and is “linear” on \overline{B} ([5], [6]).
- (4) Using the corresponding definitions, the following result holds: $f \in \overline{B}$ iff for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $h, g \in B_\tau$ such that $-h \leq f \leq g$ and $I^+(g) + I^+(h) < \varepsilon$.

3. Local integrals.

In [7] an abstract integration theory is developed for general integral metrics.

A functional $q: +\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is called an *integral metric* if $q(0) = 0$ and $q(f) \leq q(g) + q(h)$ if $f \leq g + h$, $f, g, h \in +\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$.

(5) For any $T: \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ we define the *localization*

$$T_B(f) := \sup\{T(f \wedge h); h \in +B\}$$

for all $f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$.

This is a simplified version of Schäfke's definition [13, p.120]. If $T = q =$ integral metric, q_B is also an integral metric. In the following, we assume $q = \overline{T}$ integral metric on $+\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$. From above definitions, one gets

$$(\overline{T}_B)_*(f) := -(\overline{T}_B)(-f) = \inf\{\underline{I}(f \vee (-h)); h \in +B\}.$$

We have $(\overline{T}_B)_* \leq \overline{T}_B \leq \overline{T}$ on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ and $\overline{T}_B(f) < +\infty$. Moreover, if $\overline{T}(f) < +\infty$, then $\overline{T}_B(f) = \overline{T}(f)$. Simple consequences of the definitions are

$$I^+ \leq \underline{L}_B \leq \underline{L} =: \overline{T}_* \leq (\overline{T}_B)_* \leq \overline{T} \leq (I^+)_*.$$

DEFINITION 1. *The set $L := L(B, I)$ of I -integrable functions is defined as the closure of B in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ with respect to the integral metric $\overline{T}_B(|\bullet|)$.*

(6) As in the proof of Theorem 1.5 of Schäfke [13], one shows that $L(B, I) =$ set of all those $f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ for which there exists an \overline{T} -Cauchy sequence $(h_i) \subset B$ such that $h_i \rightarrow f(\overline{T})$, i.e., $\overline{T}(|f - h_i| \wedge h) \rightarrow 0$ for each fixed $h \in +B$. Then $J(f) := \lim I(h_i)$, and (h_i) is called a defining sequence for f (see [6, Sec.2]).

One gets $\overline{B} \subset \overline{L}(B, I)$ and $\overline{T}(f) = J(f)$ for any $f \in \overline{B}$. Also, L is closed with respect to $+$, $\dot{+}$, \wedge , \vee , $\cdot \alpha$, $|\bullet|$ and J is linear and monotone on L .

In [6], convergence theorems for $L(B, I)$ are given in an analogous form to the classical ones, and various descriptions of the set L have been treated.

Additionally, we can obtain the following:

1. If $f \in L(B, I)$, $I^+(f) \leq \underline{I}(f) = J(f)$
2. $L(B, I) = B_+^* - B_+^*$, where

$$B_+^* := \{f \in +\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X; f \wedge h \in B, \forall h \in B, \underline{I}(f) < +\infty\}.$$

(7) We summarize applications given in [6, Sec.5], in the situation $\mu \mid \Omega: \Omega$ is a semiring of sets from X , and $\mu: \Omega \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ is a finitely additive measure on Ω , $B = B_\Omega :=$ real valued step functions over Ω and $I = I_\mu := \int \bullet d\mu$ are admissible.

Then,

$$R_{prop}^1(\mu, \mathbb{R}) \text{ (proper Riemann } \mu\text{-integrable functions in [6])} \subset$$

$L(X, \Omega, \mu, \mathbb{R})$ (Dunford-Schwartz integrable functions in [8]) \subset

$R_1(\mu, \overline{\mathbb{R}})$ (abstract Riemann μ -integrable functions in [9]) $\subset L(B_\Omega, I_\mu)$,

with coinciding integrals; all inclusions are strict.

If Ω is a δ -ring and μ is σ -additive, then

$R_1(\mu, \overline{\mathbb{R}}) = L^1(\mu, \overline{\mathbb{R}})$ (Lebesgue integrable functions modulo nullfunctions in [7]) $\subset L(B_\Omega, I_\mu)$;

and $f_n \rightarrow f$ μ -almost everywhere implies $f_n \rightarrow f$ (I_μ^-) for μ -measurable (f_n).

For $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Omega =$ intervals, $\mu =$ Lebesgue measure on X . $B = C_0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) =$ continuous real valued functions on Ω with compact support, and $I: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ = the classical Riemann integral on B , one has $\overline{B} = L = L^1$.

The following basic properties, which will be useful in our subsequent studies, are new here. The inequality needed here reads: if $a, b \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \geq b$, $a \geq 0$, then $(b + c) \wedge a = c \wedge (a - b) + b$.

LEMMA 2. If $f, k \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$, $h \in B$ such that $\overline{I}_B(k) < +\infty$ and $k \leq f + h$, then $\overline{I}_B(k) \leq \overline{I}_B(f) + I(h)$.

Proof. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $t_\varepsilon \in +B$ such that $\overline{I}_B(k) - \varepsilon < \overline{I}(k \wedge t_\varepsilon)$. Set $t_\varepsilon \geq h$, now with $t_\varepsilon - h \in +B$ and $k \leq f + h$, we have

$$k \wedge t_\varepsilon \leq (f + h) \wedge t_\varepsilon = f \wedge (t_\varepsilon - h) + h.$$

Therefore, $\overline{I}_B(k) - \varepsilon < \overline{I}(k \wedge t_\varepsilon) \leq \overline{I}(f \wedge (t_\varepsilon - h)) + I(h) \leq \overline{I}_B(f) + I(h)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, and the result follows. \square

(8) Note that if $f \in L(B, I)$, then $\overline{I}_B(f) < +\infty$.

In fact, one has $f \leq |h_n| + |f - h_n|$ where (h_n) is a defining sequence for f ; by (3) and since \overline{I}_B is $+$ -subadditive on $+\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$, the result follows.

The above lemma will be generalized in Proposition 4.

LEMMA 3. If $f \in L(B, I)$, then $J(f) = \overline{I}(f) = (\underline{I})_*(f) \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. By definition 1, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h_n \in B$ such that $\overline{I}_B(|f - f_n|) < \varepsilon$, if $n \geq n_0$.

We have $f \leq h_n + |f - h_n| =: g$, with $g \in L(B, I)$ and $\bar{I}_B(f) < +\infty$. Now, with lemma 2, one gets

$$\bar{I}_B(f) \leq I(h_n) + \bar{I}_B(|f - h_n|) < I(h_n) + \varepsilon.$$

Furthermore, since $f - h_n \geq -|f - h_n|$, lemma 2 yields

$$\bar{I}_B(-|f - h_n|) \leq \bar{I}_B(f - h_n) \leq \bar{I}_B(f) - I(h_n).$$

Besides,

$$(\bar{I}_B)_*(|f - h_n|) := -\bar{I}_B(-|f - h_n|) \leq \bar{I}_B(|f - h_n|) < \varepsilon,$$

so that

$$-\varepsilon < \bar{I}_B(-|f - h_n|) < \bar{I}_B(f) - I(h_n), \text{ if } n \geq n_0.$$

Thus,

$$J(f) := \lim I(h_n) = \bar{I}_B(f) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Finally,

$$(\bar{I}_B)_*(f) := -(\bar{I}_B)(-f) = -J(-f) = J(f). \quad \square$$

Note that the inequality $(f + g) \wedge h \leq f \wedge h + g \wedge h$ is always valid for $f, g \in +\bar{\mathbb{R}}^X$; so, \bar{I}_B is subadditive on $+\bar{\mathbb{R}}^X$, i.e., an integral metric.

For arbitrary functions $f \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^X$, the following additional properties of \bar{I}_B , extending those in Lemma 2, can be given.

PROPOSITION 4. For a given function $f \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^X$,

1. If $h \in B$, we have $\bar{I}_B(f + h) \leq \bar{I}_B(f) + I(h)$.
2. If $\bar{I}_B(f) < +\infty$ and $g \in L(B, I)$, we have $\bar{I}_B(f \dot{+} g) \leq \bar{I}_B(f) + \bar{I}_B(g)$.

Proof: 1. It is clear that if $\bar{I}_B(f + h) = +\infty$ (so, $\bar{I}_B(f) = +\infty$) or $= -\infty$, then $\bar{I}_B(f + h) \leq \bar{I}_B(f) + I(h)$.

Now, suppose that $\bar{I}_B(f + h) < +\infty$. For an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k \in +B$, $k \geq h$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{I}_B(f + h) - \varepsilon < \bar{I}((f + h) \wedge k) &= \bar{I}(f \wedge (k - h) + h) \leq \\ &\bar{I}(f \wedge (k - h)) + I(h) \leq \bar{I}_B(f) + I(h), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\bar{I}_B(f + h) \leq \bar{I}_B(f) + I(h).$$

2. For $g \in L(B, I)$, there exists $h_n \in B$ such that $\bar{I}_B(|g - h_n|) \rightarrow 0$. Now, $I_B(g - h_n) < +\infty$, $I_B(g_n) < +\infty$, by 1. and remark below,

$$|\bar{I}(g) - I(h_n)| \leq \bar{I}(|g - h_n|) \rightarrow 0,$$

so $I(h_n) \rightarrow \bar{I}(g)$.

Since $|f + h_n| \leq |f + g| + |g - h_n|$ and \bar{I}_B is subadditive on $\bar{\mathbb{R}}^X$, we have

$$\bar{I}_B(f + h_n) \leq \bar{I}_B(f + g) + \varepsilon,$$

and with $|f + g| \leq |f + h_n| + |h_n - g|$,

$$\bar{I}_B(f + g) \leq \bar{I}_B(f + h_n) + \varepsilon,$$

the result follows. □

Observe that, with $\bar{I}_B(f) < +\infty$ and the above reasoning in 1., one gets $\bar{I}_B(f) \leq \bar{I}_B(f + h) - I(h)$, so that,

$$\bar{I}_B(f + h) = \bar{I}_B(f) + I(h).$$

The proof follows the same arguments of lemma 2 and those of remark (8).

DEFINITION 5. (Stone) A function $f \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^X$ is called *I-measurable* if $f \cap h \in L(B, I)$ for all $h \in +B$. Obviously, $\bar{B} \subset L \subset M_\cap := \{f \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^X; f \text{ I-measurable}\}$.

In [7], the following results are given:

1. f is I-measurable and $|f| \leq$ some I-integrable g , implies f is I-integrable.
2. $f \in M_\cap$ iff $f^\pm \in M_\cap$.

The concept of $\bar{\cdot}$ -measurability enable to give, with [6, th.3], the following **Integrability Criterion**:

(9) $f \in L(B, I)$ iff f is I-measurable and $\bar{I}_B(|f|) < +\infty$.

(Note that \bar{I} is additive on B , so B -semiadditive.)

PROPOSITION 6. If $f \in +\bar{\mathbb{R}}^X$ is I-measurable with $\underline{I}(f) \in \mathbb{R}$, then there exist $(g_n) \subset +\bar{B}$, $g_n \leq g_{n+1} \leq f$, I-Cauchy and $g_n \rightarrow f(\bar{I})$.

Proof. By (2), there exist g and (g_n) in $-B_{(\tau)} \subset \bar{B}$, $g_n \leq g_{n+1} \leq g \leq f$, $\bar{I}(g_n) \rightarrow \sup \bar{I}(g) = \underline{I}(f) \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then, $\bar{I}(|g_n - g_m|) = \bar{I}(g_n) - \bar{I}(g_m) < \varepsilon$, if $n \geq m \geq n_0(\varepsilon)$, so (g_n) is \bar{I} -Cauchy.

Now, if not $g_n \rightarrow f(\bar{I})$, by (6), there exist $h_0 \in +B$, $\delta_0 > 0$, $n_k \nearrow +\infty$, such that $\bar{I}((f - g_{n_k}) \wedge h_0) \geq \delta_0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We have $(f - g_{n_k}) \wedge h_0 \in \bar{B}$, so there exists $l_k \in \bar{B}$ such that $(f - g_{n_k}) \wedge h_0 \geq l_k \geq 0$ and $\bar{I}(l_k) \geq \frac{\delta_0}{2}$.

Then, $\bar{I}(g_{n_k}) + \bar{I}(l_k) \leq \underline{I}(f)$, but $\bar{I}(g_{n_k}) \rightarrow \bar{I}(f)$, which implies contradiction with $\bar{I}(l_k) \geq \frac{\delta_0}{2} > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ \square

LEMMA 7. *If $f \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^X$ is such that $(\bar{I}_B)_*(f) = \bar{I}_B(f) \in \mathbb{R}$, then f^\pm and f are I -measurable.*

Proof. Let $h_0 \in +B$. For a given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $h_1 \in +B$ such that, with $h_1 \geq h_0$, $\bar{I}_B(f) - \varepsilon < \bar{I}(f \wedge h_1) \leq \bar{I}_B(f)$.

Now, for $h_1 \in +B$, there exists $h_2 \in B_{(+)}$ such that $f \wedge h_1 \leq h_2$ and $I(h_2) < \bar{I}(f \wedge h_1) + \varepsilon \leq \bar{I}_B(f) + \varepsilon$; one can assume $h_2 \leq h_1$ since $B \subset B_{(+)} \subset \bar{B}$ and $B_{(+)}$ is \wedge -closed. Then

$$|I(h_2) - \bar{I}_B(f)| < \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

For h_0, h_1 , there exists $-k_1 \in +B$, $k_1 \leq -(h_0 \vee h_1 \vee |h_2|)$, such that

$$(\bar{I}_B)_*(f) \leq \underline{I}(f \vee k_1) < \bar{I}_B(f) + \varepsilon.$$

Now, for k_1 , there exists $k_2 \in -B_{(+)} \subset \bar{B}$, $k_2 \leq f \vee k_1$, with $k_1 \leq h_2$, such that $\underline{I}(k_2) > \underline{I}(f \vee k_1) - \varepsilon$. Then

$$|\underline{I}(k_2) - (\bar{I}_B)_*(f)| < \varepsilon \quad (2)$$

Finally, for $h_1, k_1, k_2 \in \bar{B}$, there exists $h_3 \in \bar{B}$, $h_3 \geq h_1 \vee k_1 \vee k_2$, such that

$$\bar{I}_B(f) - \varepsilon < \bar{I}(f \wedge h_3) \leq \bar{I}_B(f),$$

and for h_3 , there exists $h_4 \in B_{(+)}$ such that $f \wedge h_3 \leq h_4 \leq h_3$ and

$$\bar{I}_B(f) - \varepsilon < \bar{I}(f \wedge h_3) \leq \bar{I}(h_4) < \bar{I}(f \wedge h_3) + \varepsilon < \bar{I}_B(f) + \varepsilon,$$

so that

$$|\bar{I}(h_4) - \bar{I}_B(f)| < \varepsilon \quad (3)$$

One gets

$$|h_4 - k_2| \leq h_4 - k_2 + 2\rho, \text{ with } \rho := h_4 \vee k_2 - h_4 \quad (4)$$

and

$$f + \rho \leq f \vee k_1 \quad (5)$$

By lemma 2, \bar{I}_B applied to (5), with (2), yields to

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{I}_B)_*(f) + \bar{I}(\rho) &\leq (\bar{I}_B)_*(f + \rho) \\ &\leq (\bar{I}_B)_*(f \vee k_1) \leq \underline{I}(f \vee k_1) \leq (\bar{I}_B)_*(f) + \varepsilon; \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$0 \leq \bar{I}(\rho) < \varepsilon. \quad (6)$$

Moreover, one verifies by checking cases,

$$|h_4^+ \cap h_0 - f^+ \cap h_0| \leq |h_4 - h_2|. \quad (7)$$

Next, let $l := h_4^+ \cap h_0 \in B_{(+)} \subset \bar{B}$; and with (4), (7), (6), (2) and (3), one gets Therefore, since B is \bar{I} -dense in \bar{B} , we conclude that $f^+ \cap h_0 \in \bar{B}$, for all $h_0 \in +B$, hence f^+ is I -measurable.

For f^- it is enough to consider that $f^- := (-f)^+$, and the previous facts for positive functions. Since, for an arbitrary function f we have $f \cap h_0 = f^+ \wedge h_0 - f^- \wedge h_0 \in \bar{B}$, for all $h_0 \in +B$, the I -measurability of f follows. \square

The integrability criterion (6), together Lemma 7, allows to us to show the following characterization of I -integrable functions (the upper and lower localized integrals are equal and finite).

THEOREM 8. *A function $f \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^X$ is I -integrable iff $(\bar{I}_B)_*(f) = \bar{I}_B(f) \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Lemma 3 gives the sufficiency. To prove the necessity, with (6) and Lemma 7, we only have to prove that $\bar{I}_B(|f|) < +\infty$.

For $0 \leq h \leq l \in B$, one has, with $f^+ \wedge l, f^+ \wedge h \in \bar{B} \subset L$,

$$f \wedge l = f \wedge h + (f^+ \wedge l - f^+ \wedge h). \quad (1)$$

First, we claim that $\bar{I}_B(f^\pm) < +\infty$. If $\bar{I}_B(f) < +\infty$, for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $h_\varepsilon \in +B$ such that $\bar{I}_B(f) - \bar{I}(f \wedge h_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$.

Let $h := h_\varepsilon, 0 \leq h_\varepsilon \leq l$, then

$$\bar{I}(f \wedge h_\varepsilon) \leq \bar{I}(f \wedge l) \leq \bar{I}_B(f).$$

Next, \bar{I} applied to (1) gives

$$\bar{I}(f \wedge l) = \bar{I}(f \wedge h_\varepsilon) + \bar{I}(f^+ \wedge l) - \bar{I}(f^+ \wedge h_\varepsilon) \leq \bar{I}_B(f),$$

so that,

$$\bar{I}(f^+ \wedge l) < \bar{I}(f^+ \wedge h_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon < +\infty$$

for all $l \geq h_\varepsilon$, hence $\bar{I}_B(f^+) < +\infty$, so $f^+ \in L(B, I)$.

Because analogously $f^- \in L(B, I)$, we have

$$\bar{I}_B(|f|) \leq \bar{I}_B(f^+) + \bar{I}_B(f^-) < +\infty,$$

and therefore $f \in L(B, I)$. \square

For any $f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$, the *lower and upper Darboux integrals* are defined as in [6, Def.4]:

$$J_*(f) := \sup\{J(g); g \leq f, g \in L(B, I)\}$$

and $J^*(f) := -J_*(-f)$. One check easily that J^* is an integral metric on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$.

With Theorem 8 and [6, Th.4], I -integrability can be characterized in its more general form (as in the classical cases), without any measurability assumptions:

COROLLARY 9. *For any $f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$, the followig conditions are equivalent:*

1. $f \in L(B, I)$
2. $(\bar{I}_B)_*(f) = \bar{I}_B(f) \in \mathbb{R}$
3. $J^*(f) = J_*(f) \in \mathbb{R}$.

In this case, $J(f)$ coincides with all the above integrals.

We conclude this section with a more general sufficient condition for I -integrability, which is directly proved using (4).

PROPOSITION 10. *For $f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$, if $\underline{I}_B(f) = \bar{I}_B(f) \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f \in L(B, I)$ and, in this case, $J(f) = \underline{I}(f)$.*

Proof. Let $h_0 \in +B$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By (5), there are $(g_n), (h_n) \subset +B$ such that

$$\bar{I}_B(f) - \varepsilon < \bar{I}(f \wedge g_n) < \bar{I}_B(f) + \varepsilon$$

and

$$\underline{I}_B(f) - \varepsilon < \underline{I}(f \wedge h_n) < \underline{I}_B(f) + \varepsilon.$$

One can assume $h_n = g_n$ and $h_n \geq h_0$ (take $h_n \vee g_n, h_n \wedge h_0$). By (2), there are $l_n, k_n \in B_\tau$ such that $-k_n \leq f \wedge h_n \leq l_n$ and

$$I^+(l_n) < \bar{I}(f \wedge h_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \underline{I}(f \wedge h_n) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < -I^+(k_n).$$

Then, $I^+(l_n) + I^+(k_n) \rightarrow 0$, if $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Furthermore, $-k_n \wedge h_0 \leq f \wedge h_0 \leq l_n \wedge h_0$, if $n \geq n_0(\varepsilon)$, with $-(-k_n \wedge h_0) = k_n \vee (-h_0) \in B_\tau$.

This gives, with (4), $f \cap h_0 = (f \wedge h_0) \vee (-h_0) \in \overline{B}$ for all $h_0 \in +B$, so f is I -measurable. By 2. in definition 5, f^\pm are I -measurable, with $f^- := -(f \wedge 0) \in \overline{B}$. Now, by the proof of the finiteness of $\overline{I}_B(|f|)$ in theorem 8, one gets $f \in L(B, I)$; and, by lemma 3 and (5), $J(f) = \underline{I}(f)$. \square

Example 13 shows that $\underline{I}_B = J$ on $L(B, I)$ is false in general. If additionally, for $f \in L(B, I)$, there exists $h \in B$ with $f \geq h$ (or equivalently, $I^+(f) > -\infty$), the converse of Proposition 6 holds; the proof is mostly similar to those above using our earlier results.

4. Improper integrals.

In the present Section, we discuss improper I -integrability with respect to I -summable functions and give an I -integrability criterion.

When an integral T on a set $M \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ of integrable functions is given, a function $f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ is called *improper T -integrable* (w.r.t. M) if $f \cap h \in M$ for all $h \in +B =$ nonnegative elementary functions (e.g., step functions) and exists $\lim_{+B} T(f \cap h) \in \mathbb{R}$, with $+B$ a set directed by \leq .

So, for $I \mid B$ as in Section 1, and with $T = \overline{I}$, the class $\overline{B}_\cap := \{f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X; f \text{ improper integrable}\}$ and $\overline{I}_\cap :=$ this limit on \overline{B}_\cap , are well defined.

LEMMA 11. *We have $L(B, I) \subset \overline{B}_\cap$ and $J = \overline{I}_\cap$ on $L(B, I)$.*

Proof. With $f \in L(B, I)$, because $|f| \in L(B, I)$, for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $h \in +B$ such that $\overline{I}_B(|f| - h) < \varepsilon$.

If $h \leq k \in +B$, one gets

$$|f \cap k - f| \leq |f \cap h - f| = |f \cap h - f \cap |f|| \leq |h - |f||,$$

where $f \cap k - f \in L(B, I)$. Therefore,

$$|\overline{I}_B(f \cap k) - \overline{I}_B(f)| \leq \overline{I}_B(h - |f|) < \varepsilon;$$

since $\overline{I} = \overline{I}_B$ on \overline{B} , we have $f \in \overline{B}_\cap$ and $J = \overline{I}_B = \overline{I}_\cap$ on $L(B, I)$. \square

LEMMA 12. *For $f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$, $f \in \overline{B}_\cap$ if and only if $f^\pm \in \overline{B}_\cap$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \overline{B}_\cap$. For $h \in +B$, $f^+ \cap h \in \overline{B}$, since \overline{B} is \wedge -closed. Now,

if there exists $\lim_{+B} \bar{I}(f \cap h) \in \mathbb{R}$, chose $h_0 \in +B$ with

$$\bar{I}(f \cap k) \leq \bar{I}(f \cap h_0) + 1$$

if $h_0 \leq k \in +B$; since $f \cap h \leq f \cap (h + h_0) + |f \cap h_0|$ for $h \in +B$, with $|f \cap h_0| \in \bar{B}$, one gets

$$\bar{I}(f \cap h) \leq \bar{I}(f \cap (h + h_0)) + \bar{I}(|f \cap h_0|) \leq \bar{I}(f \cap h_0) + 1 + \bar{I}(|f \cap h_0|) =: \alpha.$$

For the existence of $\lim_{+B} \bar{I}(f^+ \cap h)$, it is enough to show that

$$\sup\{\bar{I}(f^+ \cap h); h \in +B\} < +\infty.$$

But, if the above sup is $+\infty$, there exists $h \in +B$ such that $\bar{I}(f^+ \wedge h) > \alpha + 2$.

We have $f \cap k = f^+ \wedge k - f^- \wedge k$ and $f^- \wedge k \leq |(f^+ \wedge h) - k|$ since $f^+ = 0$ where $f^- := (-f)^+ > 0$, so that

$$\bar{I}(f^+ \wedge k) \leq \bar{I}(f \wedge k + |(f^+ \wedge h) - k|) \leq \bar{I}(f \cap k) + 1 \leq \alpha + 1.$$

We conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha + 2 &< \bar{I}(f^+ \wedge h) \leq \bar{I}(f^+ \wedge h - f^+ \wedge k) + \bar{I}(f^+ \wedge k) \leq \\ &\bar{I}(f^+ \wedge (f^+ \wedge k) - (f^+ \wedge k)) + \alpha + 1 \leq \bar{I}(|(f^+ \wedge h) - k|) + \alpha + 1 < \alpha + 2, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Because \bar{I} is linear on \bar{B} , which is closed for addition, with $f \cap h = f^+ \cap h - f^- \cap h$, we have the “ \Leftarrow ” implication, and this completes the proof. \square

We recall that [6, Th.1] gives a substitute for the general missing completeness of $L(B, I)$:

- (10) If $(f_n) \subset L(B, I)$ is a J -Cauchy sequence with $f_n \rightarrow f(\bar{I})$, for $f \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^X$, then $f \in L(B, I)$ and $J(f_n) \rightarrow J(f)$, if $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

THEOREM 13. For $f \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^X$, $f \in L(B, I)$ if and only if f is improper I -integrable (w.r.t. \bar{B}) and, in this case, $J = \bar{I}_\cap$.

Proof. By lemma 11 it is necessary only to prove that $\bar{B}_\cap \subset L(B, I)$.

Now, by lemma 12, if $f \in \bar{B}_\cap$, then $f^\pm \in \bar{B}_\cap$. Because $L(B, I)$ is closed for addition, we can assume $f \in +\bar{B}_\cap$. There exists $h_n \in +B$ with $h_n \leq h_{n+1}$ and $\bar{I}(f \wedge h_n) \rightarrow \bar{I}_\cap(f) =: \alpha$, where $f \wedge h_n \in \bar{B}$.

For any $k \in +B$, one gets

$$|f - f \cap h_n| \wedge k = (f - (f \cap h_n)) \wedge k = f \wedge (k + (f \wedge h_n)) - (f \wedge h_n) \in \bar{B}.$$

If $\alpha - \varepsilon < \bar{I}(f \wedge h_n)$ for all $n \geq n(\varepsilon)$, we have

$$\bar{I}(|f - f \cap h_n| \wedge k) \leq \bar{I}(f \wedge (k + (f \wedge h_n))) - \bar{I}(f \wedge h_n) \leq \alpha - (\alpha - \varepsilon);$$

with $g_n := |f - f \cap h_n| \wedge k \in \bar{B}$, then $\bar{I}(g_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $f \wedge h_n \in \bar{B}$ is an \bar{I} -Cauchy sequence, and by (7) we obtain that $f \in L(B, I)$. \square

Specially, in the situation $\mu \mid \Omega$ one can also consider improper integration with respect to Ω -unbounded domains:

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{B}_\Omega)_\cap &:= \{f \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^X; f \chi_A \in \bar{B}_\Omega \text{ if } A \in \Omega, (I_\mu)_\cap(f): \\ &= \lim_{r\Omega} \bar{I}_\mu(f \chi_A) \text{ exists } \in \mathbb{R}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r\Omega$ is the ring generated by Ω .

Example 15. Let $X := [0, 1]$, $\Omega := \{[a, b[; a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\mu :=$ Lebesgue measure. If we consider

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}, & 0 < x \leq 1 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

we obtain that $f \in L(B, I)$, with $J(f) = \int_0^1 f = 2$ and $I^+(f) = -\infty$.

Example 14. Let $X := [0, \infty[$, $\Omega := \{M \subset X; M \text{ or } X - M \text{ is finite and } \subset [1, \infty[\}$, and $\mu := \delta_0 =$ Dirac measure on 0 (so, with $E \subset [1, \infty[$ and finite, we have $\mu(E) = 0$ and $\mu(X - E) = 1$).

In this case:

$$R_{prop}^1(\mu, \mathbb{R}) \subsetneq R_1(\mu, \bar{\mathbb{R}}) \subsetneq L^1(\mu, \bar{\mathbb{R}}) \subsetneq \bar{B} = L^r(B, I) \subset L(B, I)$$

with $B = B_\Omega$, $I = I_\mu$, and $L^r =$ Bourbaki extension.

Remarks.

1. If $\nu: \bar{\mathbb{R}}^X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is an upper functional in the sense of Anger and Portenier [3], with the notations and results in [5], the functional $q := \nu_{|\bar{\mathbb{R}}^X}$ is an integral metric, $B := J(\nu) \cap \mathbb{R}^X$ is a function vector lattice and $I := \nu|_B$ is linear and monotone, where

$$J(\nu) := \{f \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^X; \nu(f) = \nu_*(f) \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

and $\nu = \bar{I}$ is admissible, then $J(\nu) = \bar{B}$.

2. [3, Cor.3.7] and our Theorem 1 give that the class $J(v^\bullet)$ of the essential v -integrable functions coincides with \overline{B}_\cap , where

$$v^\bullet(f) := \inf_{u \in J_-} \sup_{v \in J_-} v[(f \wedge (-v)) \vee u]$$

and

$$J_- := J(v) \cap]-\infty, +\infty]^X.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] E. de Amo, I. Chitescu, M. Díaz Carrillo. *An approximate functional Radon-Nikodym theorem*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, **48** (1999), 443-450.
 [2] de Amo E., Díaz Carrillo M., *On abstract Fubini theorems for finitely additive integration*, Proc. Amer. Mat. Soc., **123** (9) (1995), 2739-2744.
 [3] Anger B., Portenier C., *Radon Integrals*, Birkhäuser. Bessel, 1997.
 [4] Aumann G., *Integralerweiterungen mittels Normen*, Arch. Math., **3** (1952), 441-450.
 [5] Bobillo Guerrero P., Díaz Carrillo M., *Summable and integrable functions with respect to any Loomis system*, Arch. Math., **49** (1987), 245-256.
 [6] Díaz Carrillo M., Günzler H., *Daniell-Loomis integrals*, Rocky Mt. J. Math., **27** (4) (1997), 1075-1087.
 [7] Dunford N., Schwartz J. T., *Linear Operators I*, Interscience. New York, 1957.
 [8] Gould. G. G., *The Daniell-Bourbaki integral for finitely additive measures*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **16** (1966), 297-320.
 [9] Günzler H., *Integration*, Bibliogr. Institut. Mannheim, 1985.
 [10] Loomis L. H., *Linear functionals and content*, Amer. J. Math., **7** (1954), 168-182.
 [11] Luxemburg W. A. J., *Integration with respect to finitely additive measures*, Stud. Econ. Theory, **2** (1991), 109-150.
 [12] Pfeffer W. F., *Integrals and Measure*, Dekker. New York, 1977.
 [13] Schäfer F. W., *Lokale Integralnormen und verallgemeinerte uneigentliche Riemann-Stieltjes-Integrale*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **289** (1977), 118-134.

Pervenuto il 1 febbraio 2005.

E. De Amo
 Departamento de Álgebra y Análisis Matemático
 Universidad de Almería
 04120-Almería
 SPAIN
 e-mail: edeamo@ual.es

Díaz Carrillo
 Departamento de Análisis Matemático
 Universidad de Granada
 18071-Granada
 SPAIN
 e-mail: madiaz@ugr.es